Nonlinear stability of vortex pairs

Milton C. Lopes Filho

Depto. de Mat. Aplicada, IM-UFRJ

joint work with Geoffrey R. Burton (U. Bath) and Helena Nussenzveig Lopes (UFRJ)

Seminario de Fluidos, IM-UFRJ







Figure : counterrotating vortex pair in real life

Euler equations

Euler Equations for incompressible, non-viscous (ideal) fluid flow:

$$\left\{egin{aligned} &\partial_t u + (u\cdot
abla) u = -
abla p, \ & ext{div} \ u = 0, \ & ext{lim} \ & ext{u}(\cdot, x) = 0, \ & ext{u}(t = 0) = u^0, \end{aligned}
ight.$$

wher *u* is the velocity and *p* is the scalar pressure of the flow.

We introduce the vorticity $\omega = \text{ curl } u$. In general, the velocity u can be expressed in terms of ω by the Biot-Savart law, which in operator form we write as $u = K[\omega]$.

Vorticity formulation

The vorticity formulation of the Euler equations is obtained by taking the curl of the velocity equations. In 2D we get:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \omega + \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \nabla \omega = \boldsymbol{0}, \\ \boldsymbol{u} = \boldsymbol{K}[\omega]. \end{cases}$$

Biot-Savart law: If the fluid domain is \mathbb{R}^2 then $K[\omega] = K * \omega$ with

$$K(x) = rac{x^{\perp}}{2\pi |x|^2} = rac{(-x_2, x_1)}{2\pi |x|^2}.$$

Known results in \mathbb{R}^2

- McGrath 1968: u₀ smooth (H^s(ℝ²), s > 2) then ∃, !, continuous dependence.
- Yudovich 1963: ω₀ ∈ L[∞] in a bounded domain then ∃ and !.
 Extended to include L¹ ∩ L[∞](ℝ²) by Majda (1982). Proofs yield continuous dependence as well. Extended to slightly unbounded vorticities by Yudovich (1995) and Vishik (1999).
- Existence of weak solutions for initial vorticities in $\mathcal{BM}_c^+ \cap H_{loc}^{-1}$ by Delort (1990) and for certain reflection symmetric changing-sign data by L., Nussenzveig Lopes and Xin (2001). Nonuniqueness in the context of wild solutions by Dellelis and Szekelyhidi (2011).

Examples

• Point vortices: $\omega = \sum_{j} m_{j} \delta(x - P_{j})$. Hence,

$$u = u(t, x) = \sum_{\ell} m_{\ell} K(x - P_{\ell}) = \sum_{\ell} m_{\ell} \frac{(x - P_{\ell})^{\perp}}{|x - P_{\ell}|^2}.$$

 $\dot{P}_j = \sum_{\ell \neq j} m_{\ell} K(P_j - P_{\ell}).$

Examples

• Point vortices: $\omega = \sum_{j} m_{j} \delta(x - P_{j})$. Hence,

$$u = u(t, x) = \sum_{\ell} m_{\ell} \mathcal{K}(x - \mathcal{P}_{\ell}) = \sum_{\ell} m_{\ell} \frac{(x - \mathcal{P}_{\ell})^{\perp}}{|x - \mathcal{P}_{\ell}|^2}.$$

 $\dot{\mathcal{P}}_j = \sum_{\ell \neq j} m_{\ell} \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{P}_j - \mathcal{P}_{\ell}).$

• Vortex patches: $\omega = m\chi_D$, D = D(t). Hence,

$$u = u(t, x) = \int_{D(t)} mK(x - y) \, dy.$$

Dynamics is described by the evolution of $\partial D(t)$. If $\partial D_0 \in C^{1,\gamma} \Rightarrow \partial D(t) \in C^{1,\gamma}$. Chemin's Theorem, 1993.

Examples

• Point vortices: $\omega = \sum_{j} m_{j} \delta(x - P_{j})$. Hence,

$$u = u(t, x) = \sum_{\ell} m_{\ell} \mathcal{K}(x - \mathcal{P}_{\ell}) = \sum_{\ell} m_{\ell} \frac{(x - \mathcal{P}_{\ell})^{\perp}}{|x - \mathcal{P}_{\ell}|^2}.$$
$$\dot{\mathcal{P}}_{j} = \sum_{\ell \neq j} m_{\ell} \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{P}_{j} - \mathcal{P}_{\ell}).$$

• Vortex patches: $\omega = m\chi_D$, D = D(t). Hence,

$$u = u(t, x) = \int_{D(t)} mK(x - y) \, dy.$$

Dynamics is described by the evolution of $\partial D(t)$. If $\partial D_0 \in C^{1,\gamma} \Rightarrow \partial D(t) \in C^{1,\gamma}$. Chemin's Theorem, 1993.

• Vortex sheets: $\omega_0 = \gamma_0 \delta_{C_0}$, where C_0 is a curve in the plane.

 Any circularly symmetric vorticity configuration ω(x) = W(|x|) is a stationary solution of the Euler equations.

- Any circularly symmetric vorticity configuration ω(x) = W(|x|) is a stationary solution of the Euler equations.
- Any solution of the nonlinear eigenvalue problem Δψ = F(ψ), for arbitrary F gives rise to a stationary solution with u = ∇[⊥]ψ and ω = Δψ.

- Any circularly symmetric vorticity configuration ω(x) = W(|x|) is a stationary solution of the Euler equations.
- Any solution of the nonlinear eigenvalue problem Δψ = F(ψ), for arbitrary F gives rise to a stationary solution with u = ∇[⊥]ψ and ω = Δψ.
- Point vortex pairs a pair of point vortices rotate around their center of vorticity, which reduces to translating with constant speed in the mirror symmetric case.

- Any circularly symmetric vorticity configuration ω(x) = W(|x|) is a stationary solution of the Euler equations.
- Any solution of the nonlinear eigenvalue problem Δψ = F(ψ), for arbitrary F gives rise to a stationary solution with u = ∇[⊥]ψ and ω = Δψ.
- Point vortex pairs a pair of point vortices rotate around their center of vorticity, which reduces to translating with constant speed in the mirror symmetric case.
- Other steady point vortex configurations vortex street and polygonal vortex array,

- Any circularly symmetric vorticity configuration ω(x) = W(|x|) is a stationary solution of the Euler equations.
- Any solution of the nonlinear eigenvalue problem Δψ = F(ψ), for arbitrary F gives rise to a stationary solution with u = ∇[⊥]ψ and ω = Δψ.
- Point vortex pairs a pair of point vortices rotate around their center of vorticity, which reduces to translating with constant speed in the mirror symmetric case.
- Other steady point vortex configurations vortex street and polygonal vortex array,
- Lamb's circular vortex.

- Any circularly symmetric vorticity configuration ω(x) = W(|x|) is a stationary solution of the Euler equations.
- Any solution of the nonlinear eigenvalue problem Δψ = F(ψ), for arbitrary F gives rise to a stationary solution with u = ∇[⊥]ψ and ω = Δψ.
- Point vortex pairs a pair of point vortices rotate around their center of vorticity, which reduces to translating with constant speed in the mirror symmetric case.
- Other steady point vortex configurations vortex street and polygonal vortex array,
- Lamb's circular vortex.
- Kirchhoff's Ellipse

- Any circularly symmetric vorticity configuration ω(x) = W(|x|) is a stationary solution of the Euler equations.
- Any solution of the nonlinear eigenvalue problem Δψ = F(ψ), for arbitrary F gives rise to a stationary solution with u = ∇[⊥]ψ and ω = Δψ.
- Point vortex pairs a pair of point vortices rotate around their center of vorticity, which reduces to translating with constant speed in the mirror symmetric case.
- Other steady point vortex configurations vortex street and polygonal vortex array,
- Lamb's circular vortex.
- Kirchhoff's Ellipse
- etc. There are **a lot** of steady solutions coherent states.

- Any circularly symmetric vorticity configuration ω(x) = W(|x|) is a stationary solution of the Euler equations.
- Any solution of the nonlinear eigenvalue problem Δψ = F(ψ), for arbitrary F gives rise to a stationary solution with u = ∇[⊥]ψ and ω = Δψ.
- Point vortex pairs a pair of point vortices rotate around their center of vorticity, which reduces to translating with constant speed in the mirror symmetric case.
- Other steady point vortex configurations vortex street and polygonal vortex array,
- Lamb's circular vortex.
- Kirchhoff's Ellipse
- etc. There are **a lot** of steady solutions coherent states.

Steady x stationary - stationary means time-independent solution; steady means stationary modulo the action of the group of rigid motions.

Milton C. Lopes Filho (IM-UFRJ)

Orbital stability: appropriate notion of stability for non-dissipative systems. For each initial state ω_0 let $\omega = \omega(t)$ be the evolution of our system with initial data ω_0 .

Orbital stability of stationary states: Fix ω_* a stationary state. It is orbitally stable if for every $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that $dist(\omega_0, \omega_*) < \delta$ implies $sup_{t>0} dist(\omega(t) - \omega_*) < \epsilon$.

Orbital stability of steady states: More complicated - fix $\omega_* = \omega_*(t)$ a steady state. Denote $\mathcal{O} = \{\omega_*(t), t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ the *orbit* of ω_* . The state ω_* is orbitally stable if for every $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that $dist(\omega_0, \mathcal{O}) < \delta$ implies $\sup_{t>0} dist(\omega(t) - \mathcal{O}) < \epsilon$.

Example: The simple pendulum - equilibrium at the bottom is a stable stationary state; equilibrium at the top is unstable.

Example: The simple pendulum - equilibrium at the bottom is a stable stationary state; equilibrium at the top is unstable.

Friends of the orbital stability: potential wells, Liapunov functions.

Example: The simple pendulum - equilibrium at the bottom is a stable stationary state; equilibrium at the top is unstable.

Friends of the orbital stability: potential wells, Liapunov functions.

Enemies of the orbital stability: hamiltonian ressonances - small disruptive effects that build up over time; small denominators.

Example: The simple pendulum - equilibrium at the bottom is a stable stationary state; equilibrium at the top is unstable.

Friends of the orbital stability: potential wells, Liapunov functions.

Enemies of the orbital stability: hamiltonian ressonances - small disruptive effects that build up over time; small denominators.

Domain of KAM theory

Rayleigh's criterion - flows of the form $(u(x_2), 0)$ on an infinite straight horizontal channel (which are always stationary) are *linearly stable* if and only if the velocity profile has no inflection point.

Rayleigh's criterion - flows of the form $(u(x_2), 0)$ on an infinite straight horizontal channel (which are always stationary) are *linearly stable* if and only if the velocity profile has no inflection point.

Basic tool - finding eigenvalues of the linearization

History - Arnold's Theorem

Theorem

(Arnold 65) Let Ω be a smooth bounded domain in the plane and let u_* be a C^3 stationary solution of the Euler equations in Ω . Suppose that there exists $c_1, c_2 > 0$ such that one of the two conditions hold:

$$c_1 \leq -rac{u_*}{
abla^\perp \omega_*} \leq c_2$$

2

0

$$c_1 \leq rac{u_*}{
abla^\perp \omega_*} \leq c_2,$$

with c_1 big enough (wrt lowest eingenvalue of Laplacian), then u_* is stable with respect to the norm $||u||_{L^2} + ||\omega||_{L^2}$.

Basic tool - find a potential well

History - extending Arnold's result Basic avenues:

 Relax regularity condition on the stationary solution on bounded domains, ultimately to include vortex patches (Burton 2005); approach based on Kelvin's variational principle - extrema of the kinetic energy within rearrangement classes of vorticity are stable.

History - extending Arnold's result Basic avenues:

- Relax regularity condition on the stationary solution on bounded domains, ultimately to include vortex patches (Burton 2005); approach based on Kelvin's variational principle - extrema of the kinetic energy within rearrangement classes of vorticity are stable.
- Relax boundedness of the domain go to full space (Wan and Pulvirenti 85) nonlinear stability in $\|\omega\|_{L^1}$ for circular vortex patches and monotone decreasing circularly symmetric vorticity configurations in the plane, using the moment of inertia

$$\int |x|^2 \omega(t) dx,$$

a conserved quantity.

History - extending Arnold's result Basic avenues:

- Relax regularity condition on the stationary solution on bounded domains, ultimately to include vortex patches (Burton 2005); approach based on Kelvin's variational principle - extrema of the kinetic energy within rearrangement classes of vorticity are stable.
- Relax boundedness of the domain go to full space (Wan and Pulvirenti 85) nonlinear stability in $\|\omega\|_{L^1}$ for circular vortex patches and monotone decreasing circularly symmetric vorticity configurations in the plane, using the moment of inertia

$$\int |x|^2 \omega(t) dx,$$

a conserved quantity.

 Go for steady, instead of stationary vorticity configurations - full space, adapting Burton's techniques with Kelvin's variational principle - subject of this talk, Burton, L², 2013.

History - Counterrotating vortex pairs

CVP - solutions of 2D Euler, vorticity odd with respect to the x_2 variable, propagating with constant speed along the x_1 -axis.

- first studied by Pocklington, 1895.
- Existence proved by Norbury (1975), Yang (1991) as solutions to nonlinear eigenvalue problem (smooth case) and by Burton (1988) by minimization of kinetic energy within rearrangement classes of vorticity vast family of examples, at least one in each rearrangement class.
- Numerical (Overman and Zabuski, 1982) and experimental (Duc and Sommeria, 1988) work on vortex pairs.
- Stability of vortex pairs observed in experiments, formally studied by Pierrehumbert (1980) conjectured by Saffman (1995).

Rearrangement classes

Definition

Let $\Pi = \{x_2 > 0\}$, the upper half-plane. For $\omega \in L^1(\Pi)$ we define the distribution function $\lambda_{\omega} = \lambda_{\omega}(s) = |\{x \in \Pi : |\omega(x)| > s\}|$. We say that two functions ω_1 and ω_2 are *rearrangements* if $\lambda_{\omega_1} = \lambda_{\omega_2}$ a.e.. We denote by $\mathcal{R}(\omega)$ the set of all functions in $L^1(\Pi)$ which are rearrangements of ω . We denote by $\overline{\mathcal{R}}(\omega)$ the closure of $\mathcal{R}(\omega)$ with respect to the weak topology of $L^2(\Pi)$.

Remark 1: Euler evolution preserves the rearrangement class. Remark 2: The set $\overline{\mathcal{R}}(\omega)$ is much larger than $\mathcal{R}(\omega)$, in particular, it is convex (Douglas, 94).

Variational principle

For $\omega \in L^1_c(\Pi)$ we define $\widetilde{\omega}$ the odd extension of ω to the full plane, and $u = K * \widetilde{\omega}$ the flow velocity associated with $\widetilde{\omega}$. We define

$$E[\omega] \equiv \int_{\Pi} |u|^2 dx$$
 and $I[\omega] \equiv \int_{\Pi} x_2 \omega dx$.

We will look for stable vortex pairs among maximizers of the functional $E - \lambda I$ on rearrangement classes. This is an adaptation of Kelvin's variational principle to this context.

Main Theorem

We introduce the norm $\|\omega\|_{Y} = \|\omega\|_{L^{2}} + |I[\omega]|$.

Theorem

(Burton, L2,2013) Let ω_0 be a nonnegative function in $L^p(\Pi)_c$, for $2 and fix <math>\lambda > 0$. Let Σ_λ denote the set of maximizers of $E - \lambda I$ on $\overline{\mathcal{R}}(\omega_0)$. Assume that $\emptyset \neq \Sigma_\lambda \subseteq \mathcal{R}(\omega_0)$. Then Σ_λ is orbitally stable in the following sense. For every $\epsilon > 0$ and $A > |\operatorname{supp}(\omega_0)|$ there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that any Euler trajectory $\omega = \omega(t)$ with $\omega(0)$ nonnegative, compactly supported, $|\operatorname{supp}(\omega(0))| < A$ and the Y-distance between $\omega(0)$ and Σ_λ is less than δ then the L^2 distance between $\omega(t)$ and Σ_λ is less than ϵ forever.

 Σ_λ is always nonempty, all its elements are Steiner symmetric and are steady solutions of 2D Euler which propagate with constant speed λ.

- Σ_λ is always nonempty, all its elements are Steiner symmetric and are steady solutions of 2D Euler which propagate with constant speed λ.
- Por each nonnegative ω₀ ∈ L^p_c(Π), with p > 2, there exists Λ > 0 such that for any 0 < λ < Λ, Σ_λ ⊆ R(ω₀), so that the hypothesis of the Theorem are satisfied.

- Σ_λ is always nonempty, all its elements are Steiner symmetric and are steady solutions of 2D Euler which propagate with constant speed λ.
- Por each nonnegative ω₀ ∈ L^p_c(Π), with p > 2, there exists Λ > 0 such that for any 0 < λ < Λ, Σ_λ ⊆ R(ω₀), so that the hypothesis of the Theorem are satisfied.
- S_λ is a compact set of functions in L², together with their translates.

- Σ_λ is always nonempty, all its elements are Steiner symmetric and are steady solutions of 2D Euler which propagate with constant speed λ.
- Por each nonnegative ω₀ ∈ L^p_c(Π), with p > 2, there exists Λ > 0 such that for any 0 < λ < Λ, Σ_λ ⊆ R(ω₀), so that the hypothesis of the Theorem are satisfied.
- **3** Σ_{λ} is a compact set of functions in L^2 , together with their translates.
- So For the special case of Lamb's circular vortex the maximizer of $E \lambda I$ includes the function 0, which means this case is not covered by our result.

The argument follows P. L. Lions' concentration-compactness framework, starting with a maximizing sequence ω^n . The concentration-compactness provides three possibilities: compactness, vanishing and dichotomy.

The argument follows P. L. Lions' concentration-compactness framework, starting with a maximizing sequence ω^n . The concentration-compactness provides three possibilities: compactness, vanishing and dichotomy.

Compactness means the mass of ω^n stays concentrated in a single lump, which is what we require, whereas vanishing amounts to mass disappearing to infinity and dichotomy is mass breaking into lumps.

The argument follows P. L. Lions' concentration-compactness framework, starting with a maximizing sequence ω^n . The concentration-compactness provides three possibilities: compactness, vanishing and dichotomy.

Compactness means the mass of ω^n stays concentrated in a single lump, which is what we require, whereas vanishing amounts to mass disappearing to infinity and dichotomy is mass breaking into lumps.

To avoid vanishing, the hypothesis that the maximizers are not zero, plus some coercivity of the energy functional are used.

The argument follows P. L. Lions' concentration-compactness framework, starting with a maximizing sequence ω^n . The concentration-compactness provides three possibilities: compactness, vanishing and dichotomy.

Compactness means the mass of ω^n stays concentrated in a single lump, which is what we require, whereas vanishing amounts to mass disappearing to infinity and dichotomy is mass breaking into lumps.

To avoid vanishing, the hypothesis that the maximizers are not zero, plus some coercivity of the energy functional are used.

Compactness, plus some confinement analysis shows that the approximating sequence converges strongly in L^2 to someone in Σ_{λ} , which basically is enough to conclude.

Steiner symmetrization Let $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$. There exists a rearrangement f^* of f which is even and non-increasing for x > 0.

Steiner symmetrization Let $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$. There exists a rearrangement f^* of f which is even and non-increasing for x > 0.

For a nonnegative function $\omega \in L^1(\Pi)$, we define ω^* as the Steiner symmetrization for each fixed x_2 . Clearly, ω^* is a rearrangement of ω and $I[\omega] = I[\omega^*]$. In addition, a symmetrization inequality holds: $E(\omega^*) \ge E(\omega)$.

Steiner symmetrization Let $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$. There exists a rearrangement f^* of f which is even and non-increasing for x > 0.

For a nonnegative function $\omega \in L^1(\Pi)$, we define ω^* as the Steiner symmetrization for each fixed x_2 . Clearly, ω^* is a rearrangement of ω and $I[\omega] = I[\omega^*]$. In addition, a symmetrization inequality holds: $E(\omega^*) \ge E(\omega)$.

These facts, applied to the approximation sequence ω^n show no dychotomy and explains why maximizers are Steiner symmetric.

 This is not a actually a nonlinear stability result, but the fact that small perturbations of a steady profile stays near Σ_λ in L² implies that approximate coherence is mantained forever, something non-obvious and physically interesting.

- This is not a actually a nonlinear stability result, but the fact that small perturbations of a steady profile stays near Σ_λ in L² implies that approximate coherence is mantained forever, something non-obvious and physically interesting.
- It seems likely that, typically, Σ_λ only contains the translates of a single profile. This is the case for Lamb's circular vortex, but some new idea is required to proceed further.

- This is not a actually a nonlinear stability result, but the fact that small perturbations of a steady profile stays near Σ_λ in L² implies that approximate coherence is mantained forever, something non-obvious and physically interesting.
- It seems likely that, typically, Σ_λ only contains the translates of a single profile. This is the case for Lamb's circular vortex, but some new idea is required to proceed further.
- Case *p* ≤ 2 and vortex sheets?

- This is not a actually a nonlinear stability result, but the fact that small perturbations of a steady profile stays near Σ_λ in L² implies that approximate coherence is mantained forever, something non-obvious and physically interesting.
- It seems likely that, typically, Σ_λ only contains the translates of a single profile. This is the case for Lamb's circular vortex, but some new idea is required to proceed further.
- Case p ≤ 2 and vortex sheets?
- Another possible line of investigation is to adapt these ideas to smoke rings.

- This is not a actually a nonlinear stability result, but the fact that small perturbations of a steady profile stays near Σ_λ in L² implies that approximate coherence is mantained forever, something non-obvious and physically interesting.
- It seems likely that, typically, Σ_λ only contains the translates of a single profile. This is the case for Lamb's circular vortex, but some new idea is required to proceed further.
- Case p ≤ 2 and vortex sheets?
- Another possible line of investigation is to adapt these ideas to smoke rings.
- THANK YOU!